Presume

App. F IX-4

1931.

File No. 208-31

Previous References (Treasury)

Serial Nos. 1 - 6

Later References

March no 113-32 Deptl

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 5

Part B for November 32

SUBJECT.

Removal of Sri Addawara Vigaraka from the temple at Kamburupitiya -

---

To

The Mess Comm.
" Revenue Comm.
" Superintendent, Revenue Survey & Ex officio
" Comptroller

No. 881 - A 8 30 11 32

I am directed to request that the views of the new temple to be built at Gubbi and also the transfer of the idols from lands at Kamburupitiya to the new temple at Gubbi

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No. 208 of 193/.

Serial No.	
1	Petition dated 1st January 1932 from Mr T. Padmarajiah and others of Jumkur requesting permission to remove the (illegible) Adenaveeswami temple from Sampige and install it in Parsvanathaswami temple at Jumkur, and asking for the transfer of the Dharmadaya now standing in the Khate of Adenaveeswami temple to the joint Khate of Adenaveeswami and Parsvanathaswami at Jumkur, and allowed to remain in the possession of Mr Padmarajiah etc.

1) This petn was presented to me by the leading pleaders of Tumkur—

The request contained in the petn may be granted if the D.C after enquiry is satisfied that there is no objection

Sd
21/1/32

NOTE—Contn Sheet.

RJ Mukherjee
4/1/32
Mr 4/1

3 Draft, submitted for consideration
S6 MSK
7/1/32

Jeteeng

5265

7/1



Letter no 945 dated the 11th January 1932 from the Mayor Commissioner forwarding Mr Padmanabha's report and requesting him to favour him with his opinion in the matter, after ascertaining from the Dy Comr, Bankura Dt, whether there are any objections to these proposals.

12-1-32

Private Secretary to Diwan
No 1490 of 22-2-32. 3
Forwarding petition from Messrs Adam Setty & others of Sanjiga praying that the request in sl 1 may kindly be refused and the idol in question may be ~~allowed to~~ retained in the same place
& Subs with a draft forwarding the petn to the Mayor Comr for report in continuation of sl 2 with an ~~ack~~ endorsement to the petitioners
29 MSK The Member had ordered that the request to remove the idol may be granted if there is no objection
2/3. for perusal before issue

Entry not necessary at this stage

for Secy).

12/3/32

15/3 15/3.

968

[App. F. III—2

General and Revenue Secretariat — File No. 208 of 1932.

Serial No.	
	P Dy. 967 Memo No. ~~4445~~ of 12.4.32 from the Villagers of Sawfaga Gama Sub Gi Dg. Dumkan Dist:- re. the removal of Shifo to Dumkan by Dams & that the issued with No 1445 of 12.4.32 to hue D.O. Reminded No 525 dated the 27th Sept 1932 issued to the Inspector Commissioned requesting him to submit the report called for therein expeditiously, treating the matter as urgent. P.T.O

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

WD 70—GBPM—60,000—13-8-31

Inwz. File No 208 of 1932. | General and Revenue Secretariat

Petition dated 21st September 1932 from Mr D. S. Shanmugam and others of Jaffna requesting issue of early orders about the removal of the idol of Sri Adisivakesavamurthi at Sampige to the Sri Patchanadeshwara Swami temple at Jaffna, and to the transfer of the inam lands of the former temple to the joint Khate of both the deities.

2 The petition has been addressed to the Second member of Council, who has been pleased to order on the P.C.D. as follows:—

"Refer re-[illegible] may be looked up"

(D) K M
21/9/32.

3 Re submitted with Inwz 208 of 1932 Containing the previous papers on the subject, as desired C.C. Mr Inwz Comm's report 28/9/32 in the matter is still awaited.

4 It is stated that moveable properties belonging to the Sampige

Serial No.

temple were handed over to the Jain Association at Tumkur. It is not clear on what authority the S.D.O. did this. The Second Member's orders were that the request may be granted if there is no objn. The Sampige people have protested and reports have been called for. An urgent report may be called for on the petn under disposal from the Muzrai comm, after perusal of the file by the Second Member, as to whether worship is being carried on at Sampige now and if it had stopped from what date.

A

2 M Secy.) 2/11/32 1/copy

12 A may be done.

Sd. 2nd Member-for-

2 M 17/11) Perusal-

3/12.6

19/11 — 19/10

21/11/32

21/10

TYPING SECTION 26 OCT. 1932 GENL & REV SECTT

24/10/32

26/10

NOTE—Contn. Sheet.

Imp File No. 208 of 1932. General and

Letter No 669 dated the 27th October 1932 to the Imperial Council, forwarding the petition and requesting him to forward the report already called for in the matter, together with information as to whether worship is being carried on at Lamjung Mandir and if not the date from which it was stopped.

Letter No 779/31-32 dated the 7th/10th November 1932 from the Imperial Council recommending, for reasons given, that the idol of Shri Ashtabhuja from in the Jain temple at Lamjung, be permitted to be removed therefrom and installed in the new temple proposed to be constructed on S.No 105 of Gaddi Taraf by the people of the place at a cost of about Rs 15000/-, and the vacant lands endowed to the former temple, subject to the condition that the construction of the new temple to the satisfaction of the Deputy Commissioner should be completed within a year

ral and Revenue Secretariat | File No. | of 193

Serial No.

Failing which the Commission
recorded blue withdrawn.
16 Order of Govt orders
C.S. Mw
12/11/32

17 The Govt recommendation
in para 4 of his
letter dt 7.11.32 (Secret) may
for reasons set forth therein be
sanctd. And upon it arising to the
present first members orders
Fin Secy) in para 1 of the notes before
2nd Mr) 14/11/32

17/11/32

17/11

3 NOV. 1932
3126

18 I agree. The recom: was the result also of enquiries made by me as Mr. Com at Gubbi It was understood that there were 9 pair bastis already at Tumkur

JM
12/

18 Sec Mr) 19 For perusal Reference para 13
2/10/11

21/11

21/11

20 by
21/11/32
2 22/11

OFFICE OF THE
18 NOV. 1932
6180
No.

26. NOV. 1932
NOTE—Contn Sheet
FIL. & REV SECT

21 Draft letter for signature
C.S. Mw

Done 26/11/32

24/11/32

WD 70—GBPM—60,000—13-8-31

Irrig File No. 208 of 1931.

Memo 881-4 dated 29th Nov 1932 to the M.E. R.E., Superr, River Survey and Communications, the transfer of the tidal rights at Sampige, together with its inam lands, to the temple proposed to be built at Gudekai by the Jain residents of the place.

B

F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section Immigrai

File No. Mis 208 – 31

Serial No. 2

Diary No. of letter replied to ....703....

No 745-Imm

To

The Immigration Commissioner

Bangalore

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Immigrai)

the *193*

Date of issue ....11/1/32....

Signed by ....A Subba Rao....

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith petition dated 18th January 1932 (to be returned with reply) received from Sri D. Padmarajiah & others of Kumta requesting permission to remove the temple (idol?) of Ellamma [illegible]

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

WD 71—GPBM—40,000—20-7 31

Swamis temple at Sembiam
and praying for the transfer of the
Inam lands of the persons
to the joint Khata of
both the Cities, and
to request You to favour
Govt with Your opinion
in the matter, after ascertaining
from the Dy Comrs,
Trichinopoly Dt, as to whether
there are any objections
to the proposals.

The favour of an
early action is requested

Yours faithfully
[illegible]

C.C.
5/1/32

8-31-

2 MAR 1932

DEWAN' OFFICE

ENCL. & F. SECT. 988

1932.

Order

No. 1490

NAME OF PETITIONER

Adavi Lelli & others

VILLAGE Sampige

TALUK Gubbi

DISTRICT Tumkur

DATE OF PETITION

DATE OF RECEIPT 29.2.32

NO. OF ENCLOSURES

ABSTRACT.

Pray that the request of the Jain community of Tumkur for the removal of Sri Adeswara Swami idol from Sampige village to Tumkur town may be refused and the idol may be retained in the Sampige Mutt.

Submitted.

2/3/32

3/3/32

App. . .9]

GOVT. OF H. H. THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

GEN. & REV. SECRETARIAT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

No. 1277/Rev/Encl.on.Pet.

The accompanying Petition Registered 7-3-32-

No. 988 dated 20-2-32

received at the General and Revenue Secretariat from Messrs. Adavi Sri Hydrotars of Sampige praying that the Sri Kodeswara idol in the village may not be removed and the request of Jain Community be refused;

is forwarded, together with its enclosures to the Mun. Comm. for favour of early report in continuation of this Office letter No. 945 of 11 1-32.

By Order,

12/3/32

for Secretary.

BANGALORE,

....19.....

[P. T. O.

WD 02048 -GPB--3750—8-8-28

.....ಹೋಬಳಿ

.....ಗ್ರಾಮದಲ್ಲಿರುವ

.....ಗೆ

ಇಂಡಾರ್ಸ್ಡ್ ಹುಕುಂ

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

ವಿಷಯದಲ್ಲಿ ನೀವು ಗುಜರಾಯಿಸಿಕೊಂಡ 20-2-32

ಮೇರ್ಕು ಮಿನ ಅರ್ಜಿಯನ್ನು .....ಬಗ್ಗೆ

.....

.....ರವರ ಬಳಿಗೆ ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದೆ

ಬೆಂಗಳೂರು.

By Order,

Secretary.

14

669 Encl

Mog

27th October 32

The

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,
Bangalore.

In continuation of the correspondence ending with this office D.O.letter No.525 dated the 27th September 1932 about the proposed removal of the idol of Sri Adeswara at Sampige, Gubbi Taluk, to the Parswanatheswaraswami temple at Tumkur, I am directed to forward herewith petition dated 21st September 1932 from Mr.D.S.Shamarajappa and others on the subject and to request you to be so good as to forward very early the report already called for in the matter, together with information as to whether worship is being carried on at Sampige now and if not the date from which it stopped.

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

for Financial Secretary to Government

S.H.-2

15

Appendix F G—1]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Section Dharwar

File No. 208 31

Serial No. 4

Diary No. of letter replied to 364

No. 669 Encl 6

To The Dharwar Com
Bangalore.

General and Revenue Secretariat
(Dharwar)
the *193* .

Date of issue 27/9/32

Signed by K B Madhavakrishnappa

Sir,

In continuation of the Correspondence ending with the office D.O. letter No 525 dated the 27th Sept 1932 I have the honour to forward herewith the Village Accounts Register, Village Note Book and Sanad Register, Gadag Taluk in the Parishad matters connected with Transfer

List of enclosures .....

Copied by .....

Examined by .....

Petition dated
21st Sept 1932 from
Mrs D. S. Shamaoyahpa
& others on the subject.
And to suggest you
to be so good as to forward
~~herewith~~ same
Encl 3, the report
already called for
in the matter, ~~and~~
together with information
as to whether boat slip
is being carried on
at Sandpiple now and
if not, the date from which it stopped.
~~if it has not been so, from~~
~~what date it is~~
so.

Yours &c

26/10/32

for H. Leg D. Commr

C.C. "D"
26/10/32

No. 779/31-32
Evid. File

Misc 208-34

GEN 12 N 1932 R

OFFICE OF THE MUZR[illegible] IN MYSORE.

Dated, Bangalore, 7th ..... 193 .

FROM

S. HIRIANNAIYA, ESQ,. M.A., B.L.,
Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

To

9/11/32

.....

Secretary to the Government of His Highness
the Maharaja of Mysore.

..... *Department.*

Subject:-

SIR,

Jains have deposited a sum of Rs.200/- with the Amildar of for expenses to be incurred in connection with the removal of the deity and have undertaken to construct a temple in S.No.105 of Gubbi at a cost of Rs.1500/- for installing the deity.

3. Both the Sub Division Officer and the Deputy Commissioner recommend that in view of the fact that there are Jain Bastis at Tumkur and Nittur where the Jain residents could offer worship, the prayer of the residents of Gubbi however the removal of the Sri Adeswara idol to Gubbi may be granted and sanction accorded to the construction of a new temple on S.No.105 of Gubbi Town -- and to the transfer of the Inam lands at Sampige for the benefit of the new temple.

4. I do not see why the Tumkur people should be given the -- preference over Gubbi where there are 22 Jain families who have however no place of worship in the town and in which taluk the -- temple now in question is situated. The people of Gubbi are --- against the removal of the idol to Tumkur Town. I am therefore, in agreement with the Deputy Commissioner, and request that sanction of Government may kindly be obtained to the construction of a new - temple on S.No.105 of Gubbi Town, and for the removal of the Sri -- Adeswara idol at Sampige and the transfer of the Inam lands thereto, subject to the condition that the construction of the new temple -- should be completed within a year, failing which the permission -- accorded may be withdrawn.

Satisfactory to the Deputy Commissioner &

The connected records accompany.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Commissioner.

er No.M.912/31-32 dated 13th October 1932 from the Deputy Com-
ner, Tumkur District to the Dewan Commissioner in Mysore.

Subject:- Removal of the idol of Sri Adeswaraswamy at Sampige, Gubbi Dega Taluk.

With reference to your letter No.779/31-32 dated 13th January 1932, calling for the submission of a report on the above subject, I have the honor to forward, herewith, the connected file of records relating with the Gubbi Sub Division Officer's No.A.1.C.1460/31-32 dated 12th September 1932 and to state as follows:-

2. The temple of Sri Adeswaraswamy at Sampige is a Jain institution endowed with the hereinafter noted Inam lands. The priest of the temple died a few months ago and no one was available to the temple to do the required work from the Jain Community at the place. The worship in the temple was consequently stopped.

Sl.No.	S.No.	Kind.	Extent. A.	G.	Assessment. Rs.	A.	P.
1.	128	wet	2	20	9	0	0
2.	133	dry) wet,	3 1	20 13	3 2	4 12	0 0
3.	28	Garden	0	23	3	8	0
4.	52	do	0	13	3	0	0
5.	64	do	0	17	2	12	0
		Total.	9	0	24	12	0

3. In the meanwhile, Mr. P. Padmarajiah and others of Tumkur presented an application in January 1932 to the First Member of Council praying for permission for the removal of the deity to the Sri Maras and the Jain Basti at Tumkur and for the inclusion of the Inam lands in the Joint Estate of both the Gods. The villagers of Sampige were against the proposal and they represented to the Dewan Sahib in February 1932 that they were opposed to removal of the Deity to Tumkur. A detailed report was therefore called for from the Gubbi Sub Division Officer in the matter.

4. The Jain residents of Gubbi Town whose house number is 23 represented to the Gubbi Sub Division Officer, that there had no place for worship and that they had to go to long distances for worship. Basti possessions and prayed that they might be permitted to remove the idol to Gubbi. Further, they have deposited a sum of Rs.300/- with the Gubbi Co-operative Bank to meet the expenses to be incurred in connection with the removal of the deity. They have also undertaken to construct a temple in S.No.105 of Gubbi at a cost of Rs.1500/-, the Khateder, Siddi Gowda having agreed to give 20 guntas of the land free for the purpose.

5. The Gubbi Sub Division Officer states that in view of the fact that there are Jain Bastis both at Mittur and at Tumkur, he does not see the removal of the Sampige Deity to Gubbi and of the grant of Inam lands for the benefit of the temple of Gubbi [illegible]

"C o p y"

Navarel

For Luzrai Commiss' ner.

PROCEEDINGS OF THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Read :--

Petition, dated the 1st January 1932 from Mr. T.Padmarajaiah and others of Tumkur, praying that the idol of Sri Adeswaraswamy at Sampige, Gubbi Taluk, may be permitted to be removed to and installed in the Sri Parswanathaswaraswami temple at Tumkur and that the inam lands, now standing in the name of the former temple, may be transferred to the joint Mutt of Adeswaraswami and Parswanatheswaraswami and allowed to remain in the possession of Mr Padmarajaiah.

2. Correspondence ending with letter No.779/31-32 dated the 10th November 1932 from the Muzrai Commissioner, reporting that the people at Nittur and Gubbi have also come forward with similar representations for the removal of the idol of the basti at Sampige to their respective places, that the Jain residents of Gubbi have deposited a sum of Rs.200/- with the Amildar of Gubbi for expenses to be incurred in connection with the removal of the deity and have undertaken to construct a temple in Survey No.105 of Gubbi at a cost of Rs.1,500/- for installing the deity and recommending, for reasons given, sanction to the construction of a new temple on S. No.105 of Gubbi Town and for the removal thereto of the Sri Adeswara idol at Sampige and the transfer of its inam lands thereto, as noted in the margin,

S.No.	Kind	Extent A. G.	Assessment.
122	Hot	2-20	9- 0- 0
133	Dry	3-29	5- 4- 0
	Wet	1-13	2-12- 0
28	Garden	0-23	3- 8- 0
52	do	0-18	3- 8- 0
64	do	0-17	2-12- 0
Total		9- 0	24-12- 0

subject to the condition that the construction of the new temple is completed, to the satisfaction of the Deputy Commissioner, within a year, failing which the permission accorded is liable to be withdrawn.

Order No.Muz. 881-4 / Muz 208-31

Dated, Bangalore the 29th November 1932.

It is reported that since the death of the last archak, worship has ceased in the Sampâge temple and that as there are no Jain families at the place, no Jain institution can flourish there, and in view of the fact that there are Jain basties at Nittur and Tumkur where the Jain residents could offer worship it is recommended that the Sri Adeswara idol in question may be permitted to be removed to the new temple proposed to be built at Gubbi for the benefit of the 22 Jain families of the place, who have no place of worship.

Government are accordingly pleased to sanction the recommendation of the Muzrai Commissioner.

for Financial Secretary to Government.

To

The Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore, returning the records

The Revenue Commissioner in Mysore.

The Superintendent, Revenue Survey and Settlement.

The Comptroller to Government.

Agr/5.

VI—G 2]

(23

Diary No. of letter replied to 537

Section Miscellaneous

File No. 208-31

Serial No. 6

General and Revenue Secretariat

(Miscellaneous)

the 193 .

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Date of issue 30-11- 1932.

Signed by Mr B Veeraraghavaiah

Read—

Petition dated the 18th January 1932 from Sri D. Subbaraya Setty and others praying that the list of Sri Lakshmi Narasimha Swamy Devasthana, Gubbi [illegible] may be [illegible] to be Dharmadaya in the Sri Narasimhaswamy Devasthana-Gubbi and that the Dharmadaya Committee of Gubbi may be [illegible] to manage the Devasthana

Order thereon.—No

May this be—

(i) printed ?

(ii) treated as a standing order ?

(iii) published in the Gazette, English Part ?

(iv) translated ?

(v) placed at the disposal of the press ?

(vi) published in the Gazette, Kannada Part ?

of the Jerimo Temple, may
letters passed to the
Joint Khute of
Attorneys Greami and
Parmeshwar Nathoomal Greami,
and attended to remain
in the possession of
the Facilitation.
2) Correspondence
ending with letter No
779/31-32 dated the
16th Nov 1932 from
the Dy. Sec. to Govt.,
reporting that the Temple
at Nilitus and Gulbai
have also come forward
with similar representations
for the removal of the
idol of the deity
Lenmuje to their
respective places,
that the Jains of Gulbai
have despatched a team
of [illegible]

List of Enclosure

Copied by

Examined by

G. R. S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

of Rs 200/- with the Amildar of Gadki for expenses to be incurred in connection with the removal of the deity and have instructions to Contract a temple in S. No 105 of Gadki at a cost of Rs 1,500/- for installing the deity, and recommending, for favourable consideration, sanction to the Construction of a new temple on S. No 105 of Gadki when and if removal of deity Sri Adinarayan [illegible] Gadki [illegible]

GPB—20,000—7-7-14

of the vacant land, as shown
thereto, subject to the
condition that the
construction of the
new temple to the
satisfaction of the
Deputy Commissioner
is completed
within a year failing
which, the permission
accorded is liable
to be withdrawn.
Order No. 881-4 Enclosure are filed in M-C

It is reported that since 30/4/32
since the death of the
last archak, worship
has ceased in the
Sampige temple and
that as there are no
Jain families at the
place, no Jain
institution can
flourish in the
village and it

as marked in the margin.

Kind	Extent	Assessment Rs.
Wet	A. 5-20	9-0-0
Dry	2-85	3-4-0
Garden	3-13	2-12-0
do	0-25	3-8-0
do	0-16	2-12-0
2 cocoanut	0 1X	2-12-0
	9-0	24-12

S. No
127
133
1 Obi
28
52
64

G. R. S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Continuation sheet draft form.)

view of the fact that there are Jain Basthis at Nilkal and Dunkal, where the Jain residents could offer worship, it is recommended that the Sub Assistant in question, may be permitted to proceed to the new Circle Inspector's Circle, Gadag, for the benefit of the 22 Jain families of the future, until some other arrangement is made.

GPB—20,000—7 7 14

25

Commissioners

26/11/52

Mr Hodges Dept

To the Municipal Commrs
Collectors & Secretaries
The Rent Commrs
The Valuer
Engineer
The Certificated

C.A
21/11/52